



NLR-TP-2000-275

Environmental benefits of continuous descent approaches at Schiphol Airport compared with conventional approach procedures

F.J.M. Wubben and J.J. Busink



NLR-TP-2000-275

Environmental benefits of continuous descent approaches at Schiphol Airport compared with conventional approach procedures

F.J.M. Wubben and J.J. Busink

This investigation has been carried out under a contract awarded by the Netherlands Department of Civil Aviation (RLD), contract number DGRLD/1997.2.071. RLD has granted NLR permission to publish this report.

This report is based on a presentation to be held at the Internoise Conference, 27-30 August 2000, Nice, France.

The contents of this report may be cited on condition that full credit is given to NLR and the author.

Division: Air Transport
Issued: May 2000
Classification of title: unclassified



Abstract

Since a number of years, Continuous Descent Approaches (CDA) are used at Amsterdam Airport Schiphol during night hours and on a single runway. An inquiry among residents of the area surrounding the airport showed that the noise nuisance during nightly hours has been substantially reduced since the introduction of the CDA. Until recently, no operational data were available to demonstrate the reduction of the noise footprint and the fuel consumption. Using operational (FMS) data of actual approaches of both the Boeing 747-400 and the Boeing 737-300/400, an investigation into the environmental benefits of CDA approaches compared to conventional approach procedures is carried out. The results support the inquiry among residents: the noise footprints of the CDA are substantially smaller than the footprints of the conventional approach procedures. Also, fuel consumption is about 25-40 % lower during the last 45 km of the flight (which is about 400 kg for the Boeing 747-400 and 55 kg for the Boeing 737-300/400).



Abbreviations

ATC	-	Air Traffic Control
CDA	-	Continuous Descent Approach
FANOMOS	-	Flight track and Aircraft Noise MOnitoring System
FAP	-	Final Approach Point
FMS	-	Flight Management System
ILS	-	Instrument Landing System
KLM	-	Koninklijke Luchtvaartmaatschappij (Royal Dutch Airlines)
NLR	-	Nationaal Lucht- en Ruimtevaartlaboratorium (National Aerospace Laboratory)
NPD	-	Noise Power Distance
RLD	-	Rijksluchtvaartdienst (Civil Aviation Administration of the Netherlands)



Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	Approach procedures	5
3	Flight data processing	7
4	Results	8
5	Conclusions	10
6	References	11



1 Introduction

The environmental benefits of the CDA procedure have always seemed quite obvious: a reduced noise nuisance [1] and lower fuel consumption. However, until recently no quantitative operational data were available to support these benefits. The paper demonstrates the benefits of CDA approaches, based on Flight Management System (FMS) data of actual flights. The size of the noise footprint and the total fuel consumption during the last 45 km of the flight is calculated for the following approach procedures:

- Continuous Descent Approach (CDA) starting from flight level 70 (± 7000 ft)
- Radar vectored ILS approach with glide slope interception altitude at 2000 ft
- Radar vectored ILS approach with glide slope interception altitude at 3000 ft

Data was gathered for two types of aircraft:

- Boeing 747-400
- Boeing 737-300/400

For each combination of aircraft type and approach procedure, the aim was to collect 10 flights. The flights were obtained from KLM after selection with the FANOMOS flight tracking system [2] by inspecting the altitude profile and ground track.

2 Approach procedures

Conventional approach procedures usually consist of three characteristic lateral segments: downwind, base leg and final (see fig. 1). The position of the base leg is not fixed geographically. Depending on the traffic intensity, the location of this segment can shift to or from the airport. This lateral flexibility also asks for flexibility in the vertical plane. Ideally, the aircraft can descend to touchdown with a glide slope of 3° along an optimised lateral flight path. If traffic control (ATC) decides to extend the downwind segment, this results in an extended arrival route and a horizontal flight segment. During daytime hours, this horizontal segment is maintained at 2000 ft, during night-time at 3000 ft. During this horizontal flight phase the aircraft is in a configuration of high thrust settings of the engines, thus producing a considerable amount of noise and pollution. The advantage of these conventional procedures is the flexibility to accommodate high traffic intensities.




Fig.1: Conventional Approach Procedures

With the objective to reduce noise of approaching aircraft, the Continuous Descent Approach is being investigated at Schiphol Airport since a number of years. The CDA procedure (Fig. 2) starts from an Initial Approach Fix at approximately 7000 ft. When cleared for the CDA, the aircraft starts its descent in such a way that the ILS (Instrument Landing System) intercept point is reached at 2500 ft (FAP) with idle or near idle power setting (without intervention of traffic control). The disadvantage of the CDA is that the landing interval has to be increased from 1.8 to 4 minutes to guarantee sufficient spacing between aircraft on the final landing segment [3]. The increased landing interval is necessary because of the large dispersion in aircraft approach speeds.




Fig.2: CDA approach

3 Flight data processing

For the LA_{max} noise footprint calculations, ground speed, altitude, thrust and track distance (the distance from the aircraft to the runway threshold) from the FMS were reduced to performance profiles. Figure 3 shows an example of these performance profiles for both a CDA and a 3000 ft approach of a Boeing 747-400. The speed profile is not included in this figure as speed data are not used for LA_{max} noise footprint calculations.




Fig. 3: Performance profiles of CDA and 3000 ft approach for B747-400

The fuel consumption was calculated for the final 45 km of the flight¹ using fuel flow and ground speed data. The performance tables were combined with the available noise-power-distance (NPD) tables in order to calculate LA_{max} noise levels in dB(A) at immission points on the ground.

A standard LA_{max} footprint (65 dB(A)) was calculated using a 3 km runway, straight approach track and calculation range (grid) of 20 x 50 km. The distance between the gridlines is 500 m. The 65 dB(A) footprint area has been chosen because Dutch noise regulations [4] use 65 dB(A) as a threshold value.

¹ The distance of 45 km was used because within this range, data was available for all flights



4 Results

Figure 4 shows a footprint comparison of two single flights by a Boeing 747-400, a CDA and a 3000 ft approach. The footprint of the CDA approach clearly has a smaller area and will result in less community noise.




Fig. 4: LA_{max} footprint comparison of CDA and 3000ft approach of a Boeing 747-400.

Table 1 shows the results of both the noise footprint and fuel consumption calculations of all flights.

Table. 1. Average results of calculations

Aircraft/procedure		Fuel consumption [kg]	65 dB(A) footprint [km ²]	Length horizontal segment [km]
B747	2000 ft	799	72	15.2
	3000 ft	1045	74	19.5
	CDA	638	43	--
B737	2000 ft	213	38	18.5
	3000 ft	225	25	12
	CDA	170	17	--

The length of the horizontal segment is the total length of all level-flight segments of the approach. The table clearly shows the advantage of the CDA with a much lower footprint area and lower fuel consumption.



Figure 5 and 6 show the noise area and fuel consumption as a function of the length of the horizontal segment. Together with the data points of individual flights the figures also show the trend lines based on a linear regression. These figures demonstrate that the fuel consumption and footprint area in the last 45 km appear to be directly proportional to the length of the horizontal flight segment. The noise areas for 3000 ft approaches are lower than for 2000 ft approaches at equal horizontal segment length. This is attributed to the higher ILS interception altitude. Also, for equal length of the horizontal segment, the fuel consumption of the 3000 ft approaches is structurally higher compared to the fuel consumption of 2000 ft approaches. This is probably caused by the longer ILS flight path length of the 3000 ft approach resulting in a longer distance with high drag and consequently increased total fuel consumption [5].




Fig. 5: Noise area versus length of horizontal segment




Fig. 6: Fuel consumption versus length of horizontal segment

Figure 7 clearly demonstrates the environmental benefits of the CDA compared to conventional procedures. In general, fuel consumption is directly proportional to the noise area. The data points of favourable procedures, i.e. low fuel consumption and small noise area, are located in the lower left corner of the graph. The trend lines based on a linear regression are also shown in this figure.




Fig.7: Fuel consumption versus noise area

5 Conclusions

- Comparison of CDA procedures with conventional procedures shows the substantial environmental benefits of the CDA. Differences are mainly due to the presence of a horizontal segment in conventional approaches. Noise footprints (65 dB(A)) are 30 – 55 % smaller (about 30 km² for B747 and 15 km² for B737). Fuel consumption during the last 45 km of the flight is about 25 – 40 % lower (about 400 kg for B747 and 55 kg for B737).
- Conventional 3000-ft approaches in general show larger fuel consumption when compared to 2000-ft approaches with equal length of the horizontal segment. This is mainly caused by the difference in ILS flight path length.
- Noise areas for 3000-ft approaches are in general lower than for 2000-ft approaches at comparable horizontal segment lengths. Despite the longer distance with higher thrust settings along the ILS glide slope, the higher altitude seems to over-compensate for this unfavourable effect.

Although this paper shows the environmental benefits of the CDA, it should be noted that for the introduction of the CDA for day time operations, improved ATC concepts are necessary in order to satisfy, or even increase, the present day approach capacity of conventional procedures.



6 References

- [1] S. Paul and al., Establishment of Noise Abatement Solutions, Final report of EC-project (4th framework) SOURDINE, 1999
- [2] H.A. Kreijkamp, H.W. Veerbeek, Mid-life update FANOMOS: reference manual 2.0, NLR report CR-98426, 1998
- [3] S.D. Mohleji and al., Curved approaches in the Netherlands: feasibility and benefits, MITRE Technical report MTR99W99W122, 1999
- [4] DG Rijksluchtvaartdienst, Procedure for the calculation of aviation noise loads in Kostenunits (in Dutch), RLD/BV-01
- [5] F.J.M. Wubben, J.J. Busink, Environmental benefits of Continuous Descent Approaches, NLR report CR-2000-080, 2000